SOU	TED STATES DISTRICT COURT THERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	X	
	VOR MURRAY,	: :	
	Plaintiff,	: :	
	-against-	: Civil Action No. 14 Civ. 0927 (KPF)	
UBS	SECURITIES, LLC and UBS AG,	: :	
	Defendants.	: :	
		X	
	<b>DEFENDANTS' PRO</b>	POSED VERDICT FORM	
<u>Sarb</u>	panes-Oxley Act Elements		
1.	Did Plaintiff Murray prove by a preponderance of the evidence that he engaged in "protected activity" as explained in the jury charges?		
	No Yes		
	If you answered "NO," you should not	answer the remaining questions.	
2.	Did Plaintiff Murray prove by a preponderance of the evidence that UBS was aware of his "protected activity"?		
	No Yes		
	If you answered "NO," you should not	answer the remaining questions.	
3.	Did Plaintiff Murray prove by a preponderance of the evidence that his "protected activity" was a contributing factor in the termination of his employment?		
	No Yes		
	If you answered "NO," you should not	t answer the remaining questions.	

4.	Did Defendant UBS prove by clear and convincing evidence that it would have terminated Plaintiff Murray's employment even if he had not engaged in "protected activity"?			
	No Yes			
	If you answered "YES," you should not answer the remaining questions.			
<u>Dan</u>	nages			
5.	Did Plaintiff Murray prove by a preponderance of the evidence that he should be awarded back-pay damages from February 20, 2012 to the date of trial to compensate for a net loss of wages and benefits?			
	No Yes			
	If your answer is "YES," what amount has plaintiff proven he is entitled to?  \$			
6.	Did Defendant UBS prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Plaintiff Murray failed to mitigate his damages through the exercise of reasonable diligence in seeking, obtaining, and maintaining substantially equivalent employment?			
	No Yes			
	If your answer is "YES," what amount of the damages listed in response to Question No. 5 could plaintiff have avoided?  \[ \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \]			
	(stating the amount).			
7.	Did Plaintiff Murray prove by a preponderance of the evidence that he should be awarded front-pay damages from the completion of this trial onwards to compensate for a net loss of wages and benefits as a direct result of UBS's conduct?			
	No Yes			
	If your answer is "YES," what amount has plaintiff proven he is entitled to?  \$			

emotional distress or mental anguish as a direct result of UBS's conduct?			
No Yes			
If your answer is "YES," what amount has plaintiff proven he is entitled to?			
(stating the amount).			
SO SAY WE ALL.			
	Foreperson		
DATED:			
ed: October 6, 2017	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLF		
	By: /s/ Gabrielle Levin		
	Gabrielle Levin		
	200 Park Avenue, 48th Floor		
	New York, New York 10166 Telephone: 212 351 3901		
	Telephone: 212.351.3901 Facsimile: 212.351.5301		
	glevin@gibsondunn.com		
	Attorney for Defendants		